

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 * * *

6 Timothy Cleveland,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 Andrew Flores, et al.,

10 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00511-CDS-DJA

Order

11 This matter is before the Court on the Court's review of the docket. Plaintiff has paid the
12 filing fee. (ECF No. 10). However, there is no operative complaint on the docket. This order is
13 to clarify which document is the operative complaint.

14 Plaintiff previously submitted a document titled "application to proceed to district court
15 without prepaying fees or costs" that Plaintiff also titled "amended complaint, and motion for
16 court review." (ECF No. 7). Plaintiff later asserted that he intended the document to be his
17 amended complaint. (ECF No. 9 at 1) ("Plaintiff submitted an AMENDED COMPLAINT, which
18 the court appears to construe as some form of application"). However, because it was titled an
19 application, it is docketed as such. Now that Plaintiff has indicated his intent that the document
20 be his amended complaint, the Court will construe it as such.

21 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court is kindly directed to file the
22 document Plaintiff filed at ECF No. 7 on the docket as an amended complaint. The Clerk of
23 Court is kindly directed to send Plaintiff a copy of this order.

24
25 DATED: June 25, 2024

26
27 

28 **DANIEL J. ALBRECHTS**
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE